## **Engagement Policy Implementation Statement for the Year Ended 31 December 2022**

# **Curtiss-Wright UK Pension Plan ("the Plan")**

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This Engagement Policy Implementation Statement (the Statement) sets out the Trustees' assessment of how, and the extent to which, they have followed their engagement policy and their policy with regard to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Plan's investments during the one-year period to 31 December 2022 (the "Plan Year"). The Trustees' policies are set out in their Statement of Investment Principles (SIP) dated June 2022. A copy of the Trustees' SIP is available at www.cw-bournemouth.co.uk/History/UK-Pension-Plan.

This Statement has been produced in accordance with the *Occupational Pension Plans (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment and Modification)*Regulations 2018 and the *Occupational Pension Plans (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment) Regulations 2019* along with guidance published by the Pensions Regulator.

The Trustees invest the assets of the Plan in a fiduciary arrangement with Mercer Limited (Mercer). Under this arrangement Mercer are appointed as a discretionary investment manager and day-today management of the Plan's assets is by investment in a range of specialist pooled funds (the Mercer Funds). Management of the assets of each Mercer Fund is undertaken by a Mercer affiliate, Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited (MGIE).

MGIE are responsible for the appointment and monitoring of suitably diversified portfolio of specialist third party investment managers for each Mercer Fund's assets.

The publicly available <u>Sustainability Policy</u> sets out how Mercer addresses sustainability risks and opportunities and considers Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) factors in decision making across the investment process. The <u>Stewardship Policy</u> provides more detail on Mercer's beliefs and implementation on stewardship specifically. Under these arrangements, the Trustees accept that they do not have the ability to directly determine the engagement or voting policies or arrangements of the managers of the Mercer Funds. Mercer's Client Engagement Survey aims to facilitate this by assessing the level of alignment between Mercer's engagement priority areas and those of the Trustees, while highlighting additional areas of focus which are important to the Trustees. The Trustees review regular reports from Mercer with regard to the engagement and voting undertaken on their behalf in order to consider whether the policies are being properly implemented.

Section 2 of this Statement sets out the Trustees' engagement policy and assesses the extent to which it has been followed over the Plan Year.

Section 3 sets out the Trustees' policy with regard to the exercising of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Plan's investments and considers how, and the extent to which, this policy has been followed during the Plan Year. This Section also provides detail on voting activity undertaken by the Plan's third party investment managers during the Plan Year.

Taking the analysis included in Sections 2 to 3 together, it is the Trustees' belief that their policies with regard to engagement and the exercise of rights attaching to investments has been successfully followed during the Plan Year.

# 2. TRUSTEES' POLICY ON ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) ISSUES, INCLUDING CLIMATE CHANGE

### **Policy Summary**

Mercer and the Trustees believe stewardship plays an important role in managing sustainability risks and other ESG factors, and helps the realisation of long-term value by providing investors with an opportunity to enhance the value of companies and markets consistent with long-term investor timeframes. Consequently, an approach that integrates effective stewardship is in the best interests of the Plan. The Trustees also recognise that long-term sustainability issues, particularly climate change, present risks and opportunities, including non-financial performance that require the Trustees' explicit consideration.

It is the Trustees' policy that the third party investment managers appointed by Mercer, via Mercer Global Investments Europe (MGIE), report in line with established best practice such as the UK Stewardship Code 2021, to which Mercer is a signatory, including public disclosure of compliance via an external website, when managing the Plan's assets. Further, in appointing the third party asset managers, the Trustees expect MGIE to select managers where it believes the managers will engage directly with issuers in order to improve their financial and non-financial performances over the medium to long term. To monitor the third party investment managers' compliance with this expectation, the Trustees consider regular reports from Mercer that include an assessment of each third party manager's engagement activity.

Should the Trustees consider that Mercer, MGIE or the third party asset managers, have failed to align their own engagement policies with those of the Trustees, the Trustees will notify Mercer and consider disinvesting some or all of the assets held in the Mercer Funds and/or seek to renegotiate commercial terms with Mercer.

#### How the Policy has been implemented over the Plan Year

The following work was undertaken during the year relating to the Trustees' policy on ESG factors, stewardship and climate change.

### **Policy Updates**

The **Trustees** consider how ESG, climate change and stewardship is integrated within Mercer's, and MGIE's, investment processes and those of the underlying asset managers in the monitoring process. Mercer, and MGIE, provide reporting to the **Trustees** on a regular basis.

# Climate Change Reporting and Carbon Footprinting

Mercer and the **Trustees** believe climate change poses a systemic risk and recognise that limiting global average temperature increases this century to "well below two degrees Celsius", as per the 2015 Paris Agreement, is aligned with the

### **ESG** Rating Review

Where available, ESG ratings assigned by Mercer are included in the investment performance reports produced by Mercer on a quarterly basis and reviewed by the **Trustees**. ESG ratings are reviewed by MGIE during quarterly monitoring processes, with a more comprehensive review performed annually - which seeks evidence of positive momentum on ESG integration and

The Mercer <u>Sustainability Policy</u> is reviewed regularly. In March 2021 there was an update in relation to the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) implementation. In August 2022 the policy update reflected enhancements to the approach to climate change modelling and transition modelling, additional detail on how the policy is implemented, monitored and governed and, as part of the commitment to promote diversity, finalising MGIE's signatory status to the UK chapter of the 30% Club.

In line with the requirements of the EU Shareholder Rights Directive II, Mercer have implemented a standalone Stewardship Policy to specifically address the requirements of the directive. This Policy was also updated in August 2022 to reflect enhancements made to Mercer's stewardship approach including an introduction of Engagement Dashboards and Trackers, an enhanced UN Global Compact engagement and escalation process and a Client engagement survey.

UN Principles of Responsible Investing scores for 2021 (based on 2020 activity) were issued over Q3 2022. Mercer were awarded top marks for over-the arching Investment and Stewardship Policy section, underpinned by strong individual asset class results.

best economic outcome for long-term diversified investors.

Mercer's approach to managing climate change risks is consistent with the framework recommended by the Financial Stability Board's Task Force on Climate related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), with Mercer's inaugural Climate Change Management report, highlighting Mercer's approach to the TCFD framework in more detail, including example analysis on strategy and targets and metrics. As at 31 December 2021 Mercer are ahead of target year-on-year. There has been a notable 21% reduction since 2019 baseline levels, resulting in the absolute 45% reduction by 2030 being well within range.

compares the Mercer funds overall ESG rating with the appropriate universe of strategies in Mercer's Global Investment Manager Database (GIMD). Engagements are prioritised with managers where their strategy's ESG rating is behind that of their peer universe.

As at 31 December 2021, in the Annual ESG review provided by Mercer, the **Trustees** noted that 89% of Mercer Funds have an ESG rating equal to or above their asset class universe and 64% have seen an improved ESG rating over the past 4 years. Due to the nature of certain strategies, they do not have an ESG rating (i.e. are N rated) and are therefore excluded from this review. Please see Mercer's Guide to ESG Ratings for more information <a href="https://www.mercer.com/our-thinking/mercer-esg-ratings.html">https://www.mercer.com/our-thinking/mercer-esg-ratings.html</a>

### **Approach to Exclusions**

As an overarching principle, Mercer and MGIE prefer an approach of positive engagement rather than negative divestment. However Mercer and MGIE recognises that there are a number of cases in which investors deem it unacceptable to profit from certain areas and therefore exclusions will be appropriate.

### **Diversity**

From 31 December 2020, gender diversity statistics have also been included in the quarterly reporting for the Mercer equity funds and this is being built into a broader Mercer Investment Solutions International policy on Diversity, Equity and Inclusion, sitting alongside Mercer's established Diversity Charter.

Controversial weapons are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds, and passive equity funds. In addition tobacco companies (based on revenue) are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds.

Mercer expanded exclusions to further promote environmental and social characteristics across the majority of the multi-client building block funds over the second half of 2022, in line with EU SFDR Article 8 classification, as well as aligning Mercer's existing active and passive exclusions across their fund range.

In addition, Mercer and MGIE monitors for highseverity breaches of the UN Global Compact (UNGC) Principles that relate to human rights, labour, environmental and corruption issues. Mercer consider broader forms of diversity in decision-making, but currently report on gender diversity. As at 30 September 2021 33% of the Key Decision Makers (KDM's) within Mercer IS team are non-male, and Mercer's long term target is 50%. Within the Fixed Income universe the average fund has 8% non-male KDM's.

In Q3 2022 MGIE was confirmed as a signatory of the UK Chapter of the 30% Club.

# 3. TRUSTEES' POLICY ON EXERCISE OF RIGHTS (INCLUDING VOTING RIGHTS) ATTACHING TO PLAN INVESTMENTS

### **Policy**

The Trustees' policy is to delegate responsibility for the exercising of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Plan's investments to the third party investment managers appointed by Mercer on the Trustees' behalf.

This is because any voting rights that do apply with respect to the underlying investments attached to the Mercer Funds are, ultimately, delegated to the third party investment managers appointed by MGIE. In delegating these rights, MGIE accepts that managers are typically best placed to exercise voting rights and prioritise particular engagement topics by security, given they are expected to have detailed knowledge of both the governance and the operations of the companies and issuers they invest in. However, Mercer has a pivotal role in monitoring their stewardship activities and promoting more effective stewardship practices, including ensuring attention is given to more strategic themes and topics. As such, proxy voting responsibility is given to listed equity investment managers with an expectation that all shares are to be voted in a timely manner and a manner deemed most likely to protect and enhance long-term value. Mercer and MGIE carefully evaluates each sub-investment manager's capability in ESG engagement and proxy voting, as part of the selection process to ensure it is representing Mercer's commitment to good governance, integration of sustainability considerations. Managers are expected to take account of current best practice such as the UK Stewardship Code 2020, to which Mercer is a signatory. As such the Trustees do not use the direct services of a proxy voter.

Voting: As part of the monitoring of managers' approaches to voting, MGIE assesses how managers are voting against management and seeks to obtain the rationale behind voting activities, particularly in cases where split votes may occur (where managers vote in different ways for the same proposal). MGIE portfolio managers will use these results to inform their engagements with managers on their voting activities.

Set out below is a summary of voting activity for the year to 31 December 2022 for a range of Mercer Funds that the Plan's assets are invested in. This may include information in relation to funds that the Plan's assets were no longer invested in at the year end. The statistics set out in the table below are drawn from the Glass Lewis voting system (via Mercer's custodian). Typically, votes exercised against management can indicate a thoughtful and active approach. This is particularly visible where votes have been exercised to escalate engagement objectives. The expectation is for all shares to be voted.

	Total Proposals		Vote Decision				For/Against Mgmt		
Fund Name	Eligible Proposals	Proposals Voted On	For	Against	Abstain	No Action	Other	For	Against
Mercer Passive Emerging Markets Equity Fund	25,922	25,173	79%	18%	3%	0%	0%	81%	19%
Mercer Passive Global Equity CCF	19,335	18,567	82%	14%	0%	4%	0%	80%	20%

<sup>&</sup>quot;Eligible Proposals" reflect all proposals of which managers were eligible to vote on over the period

- "Proposals Voted On" reflect the proposals managers have voted on over the period (including votes For and Against, and any frequency votes encompassed in the "Other" category)"
- "No Action" reflects instances where managers have not actioned a vote. MGIE may follow up with managers to understand the reasoning behind these decisions, and to
  assess the systems managers have in place to ensure voting rights are being used meaningfully
- "Other" refers to proposals in which the decision is frequency related (e.g. 1 year or 3 year votes regarding the frequency of future say-on-pay).

**Significant Votes:** Mercer has based its definition of significant votes on its <u>Beliefs, Materiality and Impact (BMI) Framework</u>. In order to capture this in the monitoring and reporting of managers voting activities, significant votes focus on proposals covering priority areas identified by the BMI Framework.

The significant votes below were assessed on the following criteria

- 1. Proposal topic relates to an Engagement Priority (*climate change, human/labour rights, diversity, etc.*) classified in broader topics below; Environmental, Social, or Governance
- 2. Proposal proponent is Shareholder (as opposed to Management)
- 3. Size of holding (e.g. top 10 holdings within the fund)
- 4. Industry controversial / topical proposals

The topic and criteria used are included in the Shareholder Proposal column below.

We have not included an explanation for the rationale for the voting decision and, where against management, whether this was communicated to the company ahead of the vote because we do not currently have this information, but this is something which Mercer are looking to include in the future.

## Sample of the most significant votes

Fund	Topic: Shareholder Proposal (SHP), (Criteria)	Issuer	Date of the Vote	Vote Decision (For/Against Proposal)	Outcome of the Vote
Mercer Passive Emerging Markets Equity Fund	Environmental: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Disclosure of GHG Emissions, (Criteria 1,2)	Standard Bank Group Ltd.	2022-05-31	For	99% For
	Environmental: Shareholder Proposal Regarding GHG Reduction Targets, (Criteria 1,2)	Standard Bank Group Ltd.	2022-05-31	For	99% For
Mercer Passive Global Equity CCF	Governance: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Board Diversity, (Criteria 1,2,3)	Alphabet Inc.	2022-06-01	For	5% For
	Social: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Freedom of Association, (Criteria 1,2,4)	Amazon.com Inc.	2022-05-25	For	39% For
	Environmental: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Managing Climate Risk in Employee Retirement Options, (Criteria 1,2,3)	Microsoft Corporation	2022-12-13	For	11% For